

Multi-echo BOLD Index: Figuring out false positive and providing detailed activation patterns in task fMRI

Wenchao Yang¹, Burak Akın², Xiang Gao¹, Benedikt Poser³, Jürgen Hennig¹
¹ Department of Radiology, Medical Physics, Faculty of Medicine, Medical Center-University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
² Section on Functional Imaging Methods, National Institute of Mental Health, NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA
³ Maastricht Brain Imaging Centre, Faculty of Psychology and Neuroscience, Maastricht University, Maastricht, Netherlands

Introduction



It is clear that fMRI is quite successful in brain activation region locating and brain functional disease during the last past nearly 30 years. However, the false-positive and activation boundary puzzled the scientists in our field quite a lot. In 2009, Bennett showed in his famous dead fish experiment that the dead salmon's brain even became active during photostimulation with standard fMRI analysis. How to judge whether the voxel is active? For the standard t-test analysis, people can use $p < 0.01$ statistics and also $p < 0.05$ statistics, the defined regions are different. Which is the right activation boundary? For the t-test analysis, do the higher T-value regions mean the stronger response regions?

In this poster, we show how we try to answer the false-positive, activation boundary problem and figure our activation patterns from the aspect of a multi-echo BOLD index in a glimpse.



Methods

- 16 healthy volunteers, visual and finger tapping task (BLOCK design)
- TR=550ms, TE=[12.00, 38.52, 65.04]ms, voxel size=3*3*4 mm³.
- 2 high resolution volunteers, same task
- TR=1410ms, TE=[14.0, 40.36, 66.72]ms, voxel size=2*2*3mm³.



$$\frac{\Delta S}{S} = \frac{\Delta S_0}{S_0} - TE \cdot \Delta R_2^*$$



$$BOLD\ Index = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{E_{S_0} + res - E_{I_2}}{res}} + 1}$$

Non-BOLD signal: $E_{S_0} + res$
 BOLD signal: E_{I_2}




Results

Finger tapping activation patterns



False Positive ratio	Visual	Motor
$p < 0.05$	21.9%	32.2%
$p < 0.01$	11.6%	19.0%



Discussion

- False positive
- Patterns
- 2015 Luber's work and facts

