

# **Towards a System-Level Physiology of**

Stimulus Anticipation, Visual Perception, and Decision Confidence



UMG

<u>Ege Kingir<sup>1</sup>, Sukanya Chakraborty<sup>1,2</sup>, Caspar M. Schwiedrzik<sup>3,4,5</sup>, Melanie Wilke<sup>1,6</sup></u>

1 Department of Cognitive Neurology, Heart & Brain Center, University Medicine Goettingen, Robert-Koch Str. 42, Goettingen 37075, Germany

2 Neural Circuits and Neuromodulation Laboratory, Department of Neuroscience, Erasmus University Medical Centre, Dr. Molewaterplein 40, Rotterdam, 3015 GD, The Netherlands

3 Neural Circuits and Cognition Lab, European Neuroscience Institute Goettingen – A Joint Initiative of the University Medical Center Goettingen and the Max Planck Institute for Multidisciplinary Sciences, Grisebachstraße 5, 37077 Goettingen, Germany

4 Perception and Plasticity Group, German Primate Center – Leibniz Institute for Primate Research, Kellnerweg 4, 37077 Göttingen, Germany

5 Cognitive Neurobiology, Research Center One Health Ruhr, University Alliance Ruhr, Faculty of Biology and Biotechnology, Ruhr-University Bochum, Universitätsstraße 150, 44801 Bochum, Germany

6 Cognitive Neurology Group, German Primate Center, Cognitive Neuroscience Laboratory, Leibniz Institute for Primate Research, Kellnerweg 4, Goettingen 37077, Germany

# BACKGROUND

• The brain constantly communicates with visceral organs such as the heart and lungs, which also communicate between each other<sup>[1]</sup>. Here, we investigate these interactions throughout stimulus anticipation, visual stimulus detection, and post-perceptual decision-making processes by using a visual threshold detection task.

#### **STIMULUS ANTICIPATION**

- Heart rate decreases during stimulus anticipation, known as "Anticipatory Cardiac Deceleration" (ACD)<sup>[2]</sup>. This may facilitate exteroceptive processing by attenuating baroreceptor 'noise'.
- Stimulus anticipation may also decrease the cortical responses to each heartbeat, known as Heartbeat Evoked Potentials (HEP)<sup>[3]</sup> (HEP computation is illustrated on the right side).
- Respiration also modulates the heart rate constantly due to respiratory sinus arrhythmia<sup>[4]</sup>. Interactions between the anticipatory and respiration-based heart rate modulation is not characterized yet.

#### **CARDIORESPIRATORY STATE AT STIMULUS ONSET**

• Cardiac and breathing phases at stimulus onset may modulate perceptual performance, but the findings are



inconsistent. Some results suggest that increased baroreceptor activity at systole decreases perceptual performance<sup>[5]</sup>, while others find null results<sup>[6]</sup>.

#### **POST-PERCEPTUAL PROCESSES**

- After stimulus onset, ACD is reversed and heart rate increases again. This acceleratory rebound is larger when subjects are confident about their perceptual decisions in a somatosensory task<sup>[4]</sup>. Generalization across sensory domains has not been tested.
- Post-stimulus HEPs between stimulus onset and confidence report may predict decision confidence levels. HEPs from this time window are not widely studied yet, unlike pre-stimulus HEPs.

- First row summarizes a single trial. Each subject underwent 6-8 blocks of 60 trials each (87% stimulus present, 13% stimulus absent).
- Contrast was dynamically adjusted with the QUEST algorithm if accuracy in the last 10 trials was not between 40-80% (~60% hit rate).
- n=28 young and healthy subjects participated (12 male, 16 female; age: 25.82 ± 0.57 (mean ± s.e.m.)). 1 subject was excluded due to not completing the minimum number of trials. 4 more subjects were excluded from only breathing-related analyses due to low signal quality. Non-overlapping 4 subjects were excluded from confidence-related analyses because their median confidence was 100%.

# RESULTS

E

#### Changes in IBI across the respiratory cycle Α ACD: Hit vs. Miss ACD amplitude = |exh| - |inh| D B Exhale vs. Inhale 200 n=23 80г p<sub>Time (ACD)</sub>< 0.05 n=27 960 n=23 (%) 70 o < 0.05 150 Rate 940 100 (s 920 IBI 40 ΔIBI 50 20 50 atory (Next 900 Exhale Inhale Hit -20 880 Systole vs Diastole Miss 80<sub>1</sub> n=27 Rate (%) -6( 90-135 135-180 180-225 225-270 270-315 315-360 inh-2 70 exh-2 inh-1 0-45 45-90 exh-1 exh-0 inh-0 Stim-3 Stim-2 Stim-Stim+1 Stim+2 Stim Exhalation (exh) vs. Inhalation (inh) **Breathing Phase** 60 50 $0(2\pi = 360^{\circ})$ π = 180° Stim-1 Systole Diastole • IBI (cardiac period) increases during stimulus anticipation (A-top) • Respiratory sinus arrhythmia slows the heart rate during exhalation, and exhalation (B). and speeds it up during inhalation (p<sub>Breathing</sub><0.05). Cognitive effect of • Breathing phase (**D** - top), but not

### The Interplay Between Respiratory and Anticipatory Heart Rate Modulation



• Subjects adjust their breathing phase to advancing phases of exhalation during the anticipatory window (**A-bottom**).

anticipation exerts a gradually increasing effect of cardiac deceleration  $(p_{Time} < 0.05)$ , irrespective of the breathing phase  $(p_{[Time x Breathing]} = 0.24)$ .

• Breatning phase (**D** - **top**), but not cardiac phase (**D** - **bottom**) modulates visual detection rate.



• HEPs from the 'Warning' period are higher in a parieto-occipital cluster (thick black

• No significant difference was found in the average HEP amplitudes between Hit vs.

electrodes) in trials with high visual ERP (VEP) in response to the stimulus (E - Left).

350-600 ms post R-peak

Miss (F - middle), or High vs. Low confidence conditions (E - right).



• After only traditional baseline subtraction (F - Left), HEPs seem to decrease significantly (thick black bars) in the 'Warning' period.

• However; this difference is caused by differing amounts of non-ECG-locked baseline drifts (Contingent Negative Variation (CNV)<sup>[7]</sup>) (F - Middle & Right).

# Increased Post-Perceptual Heart Rate Acceleration and Decreased Fronto-Central HEP Amplitude under High Confidence



# DISCUSSION

• Stimulus anticipation increases cardiac deceleration during exhalation, and decreases cardiac acceleration during inhalation. Subjects adjust their breathing phase to exhalation throughout the anticipatory window. Since stimulus detection rates are also higher when stimulus onset coincides with exhalation, this adjustment might be adaptive.

• Pre-stimulus HEP amplitudes in a parieto-occipital electrode cluster positively correlates with

#### **No Effect of Stimulus Anticipation on HEP Amplitude**

BI: High - Low Conf.



- High confidence is associated with a larger acceleratory rebound (**G**), similar to the results from Grund et al. (2022)<sup>[4]</sup>, which used a somatosensory detection task.
- HEPs time-locked to the first post-stimulus R-peaks show significant decrease in a right fronto-central cluster (H).

visual ERP amplitudes, but the correlation does not transfer to behavioral parameters of stimulus detection and confidence.

• Stimulus anticipation decreases heart rate (ACD), but not the cortical responses to the heartbeats (HEP), in contrast with the baroreceptor hypothesis<sup>[2,8]</sup>, but in line with a recent preprint<sup>[3]</sup>.

• Since our 'Delay' window is quite short (0.3 - 0.7 s), post-perceptual results include motor response confounds and are preliminary at best. We will conduct a follow-up experiment with an extended delay. Instantaneous heart rate and HEPs in an extended delay period might contribute to our '*gut feeling*' about a recent decision, hence modulating our decision confidence.

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

Azzalini et al., 2019. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 23(6), 488–509.
Skora et al., 2022. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 137, 104655.
Park et al., 2014. Nature Neuroscience
Aprile et al., 2024. bioRxiv.
Grund et al., 2022. The Journal of Neuroscience, 42(4), 643–656.
Al et al., 2020. Proceedings of the National Science, 42(4), 643–656.

Al et al., 2020. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117(19), 10575–10584.
Park et al., 2014. Nature Neuroscience, 17(4), 612–618.
Steinfath et al., 2024. bioRxiv.
Petzschner et al., 2019. NeuroImage, 186, 595–606.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study is supported by the Research Training Group 2824 (GRK2824), funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG).

# ege.kingir@med.uni-goettingen.de

